



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/030,364 | 06/04/2002 | Tom McNiven | 5620-2 | 7449 | |
| 7 | 7590 09/10/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| John V Moriarty | | | LOWE, MICHAEL S | | |
| Woodard Emh | ardt Naughton Moriarty | & McNett | | | |
| Suite 3700 | - | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 111 Monument Circle 3652 | | | | | |
| Indianapolis, I | N 46204 | | DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| \ | 10/030,364 | MCNIVEN, TOM | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | 1 11 1 | | | |
| | M. Scott Lowe | 3652 | NW | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 M</u> | av 2004. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| .— | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 12-15 is/are pending in the appear 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4,6,12,13 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 5,14 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 May 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National | Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) | »□····- | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | D-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 3652

Claim Objections

Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: there should be an "an" just prior to "apparatus" in line 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation "and/or" renders the claim indefinite as it is unclear whether engaging, lifting or both lifting and engaging are being claimed. For sake of examination it is assumed that the applicant meant "or".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4,6,12,13,15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Warner (US 5,446,938).

Application/Control Number: 10/030,364

Art Unit: 3652

Re claim 1, Warner teaches a load handling apparatus comprising: a pivot rod 36 having ends;

at least one elongate member 6,13 having means for engaging or lifting a load; and at least one second elongate member 12,14 pivotally connected to said first-mentioned elongate member 6,13; and

actuating means in the form of at least one airbag assembly having an airbag 20,21 and co-operating with said first and second elongate members to change the angular orientation of said first and second elongated members relative to each other upon inflation and deflation of the airbag and characterized in that said at least one airbag assembly includes at least one connecting strap 33 as part thereof, said connecting strap passing around said rod 36 as part of the load handling apparatus to secure the airbag with the apparatus.

Re claim 2, Warner teaches various additional members 9,10, etc.

Re claim 3, Warner teaches member 6 substantially twice the length of member 12.

Re claim 4, Warner teaches member 13 substantially equal in length to member 12.

Re claim 6, Warner teaches pneumatic mechanism 16.

Re claim 12, Warner teaches first and second airbags 20,21 with straps 33.

Re claim 13, Warner teaches castellated straps 33.

Re claim 15, Warner teaches an airbag for apparatus according to any preceding claim (such as claim 1) having a number of interconnecting compartments inflation of

Art Unit: 3652

the airbag is restrained at one edge or part thereof characterized in that said airbag includes at said edge or part at least one connecting strap said connecting strap provided to pass around the rod and secure the airbag thereto.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5,14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's arguments filed 5/17/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The argument that the claims are allowable simply because the independent claim was amended is not persuasive since the current claim limitations still read on the known prior art.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3652

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Scott Lowe whose telephone number is 703-305-1940. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-4:30pm M,Tu,Th,F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on 703-308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

msl

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

lacies